

KINETIC PARAMETERS OF ELECTRODE REACTIONS*

N. TANAKA and R. TAMAMUSHI†

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan

Abstract—Kinetic parameters of electrode reactions are collected from the literature and tabulated.

Résumé—Les paramètres cinétiques des réactions d'électrodes ont été rassemblés à partir des données de la littérature et sont présentés sous forme de tableaux.

Zusammenfassung—Die kinetischen Parameter von Elektrodenreaktionen sind an Hand der Literatur zusammengestellt und tabelliert wurden.

INTRODUCTION

RECENTLY, both the theory and the experimental method on electrode reactions have made great progress, and many data on the kinetic parameters, such as the rate constant and the transfer coefficient, of electrode reactions have been published in the literature. Needless to say, these data play an important role in the discussion of the mechanism of electrode kinetics. Some instructive information on this subject can be found in the recent monograph by K. J. Vetter.⁹⁶ From the practical standpoint also, these data are very valuable, because the degree of "reversibility" of the polarographic current/potential curve and the favourable conditions for the electrochemical separation of components can be predicted from the kinetic parameters.

Unfortunately, there has been no compilation of kinetic parameters, and this has compelled the authors to undertake the compilation of all available kinetic parameters of electrode reactions. A large number of data on the hydrogen and the oxygen overpotentials and also some on the electrode reactions of organic substances which have been published, however, are not included in the compilation.

A preliminary draft‡ was sent for comments to the researchers whose results were referred in the table, and also to members of the Commission on Electrochemical Data and the Commission on Electrochemistry, IUPAC. The present compilation, which is a revision of the preliminary draft, is being published on the recommendation of the Commission on Electrochemistry, IUPAC, at its London meeting in July 1963. The compilation is yet almost uncritical, and therefore it is being presented for comments, criticism and additions.

The most serious problem which we met during the compilation arose from the fact that the presentation of the kinetic parameters differed from author to author. For example, some investigators present the rate of the electrode reaction in terms of the exchange current and others in terms of the standard rate constant. There is also an ambiguity in the transfer coefficient; some values correspond to the cathodic transfer coefficient and others to the anodic one. Such a situation often discourages us from comparing the data on the same system obtained by different investigators. Furthermore, it is evident from the theoretical standpoint that the analysis of the electrode kinetics requires at least both the rate constant and the transfer coefficient. As seen in the table, there are still many systems for which one of these parameters has not been obtained. This seems to be mainly due to the experimental difficulties.

* Manuscript received 15 November 1963.

† Present address: The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research, Komagome, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo.

‡ This was first presented at the Montreal meeting of the Commission on Electrochemical Data, Analytical Chemistry Section, IUPAC, in August 1961.

It is highly desirable, however, that if possible both of these parameters are presented in future literature. In order to make kinetic data more useful to the further discussion of electrode kinetics, we suggest that clear description should be given on the following items:

(1) The electrode/solution system.

(2) The experimental methods and conditions.

(3) The rate constant of the electrode reaction. The standard rate constant, k_s , in cm/s or the standard exchange current density, $^{\circ}i$, are preferable to the exchange current density, i_0 . When $^{\circ}i$ is used, the standard of the concentration unit must be clearly mentioned. If k_s cannot be determined, as in the case of the totally irreversible systems whose standard potentials are unknown, the rate constant, k_c° or k_a° , at the potential where the electrode potential equals zero against a reference electrode may be useful. In this case, the reference electrode should be mentioned, because the values of k° are dependent on the nature of the reference electrode used.

(4) In the presentation of the transfer coefficient, it must be made clear whether the value corresponds to the cathodic or the anodic one.

(5) Although the apparent heat of activation of the electrode reaction usually is not identical with the true heat of activation, its value seems to be useful in electrode kinetics. It is very important, however, to present clearly the assumptions under which the apparent heat of activation is calculated.

Finally, the authors wish to express their sincere thanks to many electrochemists who provided valuable information on their researches and their helpful comments and advice on the preliminary draft. They are also very grateful to Professor P. Van Rysselberghe, Professor H. Fischer and Dr. T. P. Hoar and also members of the Commission on Electrochemistry, IUPAC, for facilitating the publication of this report.

A NOTE ON ELECTRODE KINETICS

The over-all electrode reaction,



is generally considered to consist of the following processes: (i) the electron-transfer process at the electrode surface,



(ii) the processes at the electrode surface which are accompanied by the electron-transfer process,



where O and R are the oxidant and the reductant, respectively, in the bulk of the solution, and (iii) the mass-transfer processes, such as diffusion and convection, of the reacting species between the electrode surface and the bulk of the solution. If it is assumed that both of the processes (i) and (ii) are of the first or the pseudo-first order with respect to X, Y and O, the over-all faradaic current density, i , is given by the equations

$$i = |i_c - i_a|, \quad (5)$$

$$i_c = nFC_O k_c, \quad (6)$$

$$i_a = nFC_R k_a, \quad (7)$$

where n is the number of electrons involved in the electron-transfer process, $\dagger$ F the faraday, and C_O and C_R are the concentrations of O and R, respectively, at the electrode surface. The rate constant of the reduction process of O, k_c , and that of the oxidation process of R, k_a , can be represented by

$$\begin{aligned}k_c &= k_c^\circ \exp(-\alpha nFE/RT), \\k_a &= k_a^\circ \exp(\beta nFE/RT),\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where E is the electrode potential measured against a suitable reference electrode in the European convention, α and β are the cathodic and the anodic transfer coefficient, respectively, and k° 's the rate constants at $E = 0$. In (8), the effect of the diffuse double layer is neglected as the first approximation; most of the kinetic parameters which have been reported so far are determined with this approximation.

At the equilibrium potential of the system, E_e , the over-all faradaic current is equal to zero, and the concentrations of the reacting species at the electrode surface, C , are equal to those in the bulk of the solution, C° . Under these conditions, i_c and i_a are given by the relation

$$\begin{aligned}i_c = i_a = i_0 &= nFC_O^\circ k_c^\circ \exp(-\alpha nFE_e/RT) \\&= nFC_R^\circ k_a^\circ \exp(\beta nFE_e/RT),\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

where i_0 is the exchange current density of the system. At the standard potential, E_e° , both of k_c and k_a are equal to the standard rate constant, k_s , which is represented by

$$k_s = k_c^\circ \exp(-\alpha nFE_e^\circ/RT) = k_a^\circ \exp(\beta nFE_e^\circ/RT). \quad (10)\ddagger$$

The standard exchange current density, $^\circ i$, which corresponds to the exchange current density at the standard equilibrium conditions, ie $C_O^\circ = C_R^\circ = C^\circ = 1$ M, $\S$ is also defined by

$$^\circ i = nFC^\circ k_s. \quad (11)$$

By assuming that $\alpha + \beta = 1$, the following equation for i_0 is derived from eqns. (9) and (10),

$$i_0 = nFk_s(C_O^\circ)^\beta (C_R^\circ)^\alpha. \quad (12)$$

The apparent heat of activation of the reduction process, $(\Delta H_c^*)_E$, can be determined by the relation

$$(\partial \ln k_c / \partial T)_E = (\Delta H_c^*)_E / RT^2. \quad (13)$$

The value of $(\Delta H_c^*)_E$ is dependent on the nature of the reference electrode used, and it cannot be considered to be characteristic of the reduction process concerned. The apparent heat of activation at the standard potential, ΔH_s^* , is defined as

$$\Delta H_s^* = RT^2(\partial \ln k_s / \partial T), \quad (14)$$

and is a characteristic constant of the system. However, ΔH_s^* is by no means identical with the "true" heat of activation of the corresponding process. $\dagger\dagger$

$\dagger$ For the sake of simplicity, it is assumed that the number of electrons involved in the electron-transfer process is equal to that in the over-all electrode reaction.

$\ddagger$ The apparent standard rate constant, k_s° , and the apparent transfer coefficient, α° , that are defined by Delahay correspond to k_s and α , respectively, in this report.

$\S$ For the sake of simplicity, concentration is used instead of activity.

$\dagger\dagger$ Because it refers to the value at the standard potential on the hydrogen scale, the true "thermal" heat of activation (and the true free energy of activation), containing no "electrochemical" contribution, is that obtaining at zero absolute potential difference between the electrode and the solution—*Ed.*

NOTATION AND ABBREVIATIONS

Notation

- a activity
 α transfer coefficient of the reduction process (cathodic transfer coefficient)
 β transfer coefficient of the oxidation process (anodic transfer coefficient)
 C molar concentration at the electrode surface, unless otherwise stated
 C° molar concentration in the bulk of the solution, unless otherwise stated
 D diffusion coefficient, cm^2/s
 ΔG^* free energy of activation, Kcal/mole
 $(\Delta H_a^*)_E$ apparent heat of activation of the oxidation (anodic) process, Kcal/mole, at the constant electrode potential E
 $(\Delta H_c^*)_E$ apparent heat of activation of the reduction (cathodic) process, Kcal/mole, at the constant electrode potential E
 ΔH_s^* apparent heat of activation, Kcal/mole, at the standard potential
 ΔS_s^* apparent entropy of activation at the standard potential
 E electrode potential measured against a suitable reference electrode in the European convention
 E_e equilibrium potential
 E_e° standard potential
 $E_{1/2}$ half-wave potential
 F Faraday constant
 i over-all current density
 i_a anodic current density
 i_c cathodic current density
 i_0 exchange current density, A/cm^2 , unless otherwise stated
 $^{\circ}i$ standard exchange current density, A/cm^2 , unless otherwise stated.
 k_a rate constant of the oxidation process, cm/s , unless otherwise stated
 k_a° k_a at $E = 0$
 k_c rate constant of the reduction process in cm/s , unless otherwise stated
 k_c° k_c at $E = 0$
 $k_{1/2}$ k_c at $E = E_{1/2}$
 k_s standard rate constant, cm/s , unless otherwise stated
 μ ionic strength
 n number of electrons concerning the electron-transfer process
 R gas constant
 t time
 T absolute temperature

Abbreviations

- | | | | |
|-----|--------------------------------------|-----|----------------------------------|
| Ac | acetate | acp | alternating current polarography |
| ach | alternating current harmonics method | ccs | cyclic current step method |

cp	current/potential curve	LEO	polyoxyethylene lauryl ether
cps	cyclic potential step method	Ma	maleate
cr	coulostatic relaxation method	MC	methyl cellulose
cs	current step method	NHE	normal hydrogen electrode
CTAB	cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide	O	oxidant
dcp	direct current polarography	op	oscillographic polarography
DME	dropping mercury electrode	Ox	oxalate
dp	double pulse method	Po	propionate
en	ethylenediamine	ps	potentiostatic method
fi	faradaic impedance method	pt	potential/time curve
Fo	formate	R	reductant
fr	faradaic rectification method	rev	reversible
Fu	fumarate	rt	radio-tracer method
gel	gelatin	SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
gs	galvanostatic method	vs	voltage step method

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Ag/1 mM and 0.1 M Ag ⁺ Ag/Ag ⁺ (10 g AgNO ₃ /100 cm ³ H ₂ O)	1 N HClO ₄	—	$\beta = 0.74 \pm 0.02$	$i_0 = 24 \pm 5$ $i_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dp fi	30 10
Bi/Bi(III)	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.48 \pm 0.08$	$k_s = 0.0009$ $i_0 = 8 \pm 3^a$ $k_s = 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	—	vs	55
Bi-Hg/Bi(III)	1 M HClO ₄	20	—	$k_s > 1$	$\Delta H_s^* = 10.0$	fi	80
DME/Bi(III)	1 M HCl	20	—	$k_s = 3 \times 10^{-4}$	—	fi	80
DME/5 × 10 ⁻³ N Bi(III)	1 M HClO ₄	25 ± 0.1	—	$k_s = 3 \times 10^{-4}$	—	acp	49
DME/2 × 10 ⁻³ N Bi(III)	0.8 N HClO ₄ + 0.2 N HNO ₃	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = (1.0-1.3) \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	67
	0.6 N HClO ₄ + 0.4 N HNO ₃	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = (1.7-1.8) \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	67
	0.4 N HClO ₄ + 0.6 N HNO ₃	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = (2.2-2.8) \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	67
	1 N HNO ₃	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = (2.8-4.2) \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	67
DME/(1-3) × 10 ⁻³ N Bi(III)	1 N H ₂ SO ₄	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = (1.7-1.9) \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	67
DME/(1-3) × 10 ⁻³ N Bi(III)	1 N HClO ₄ + 10 ⁻³ N HCl	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = (2.4-3.0) \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	67
DME/5 × 10 ⁻³ N Bi(III)	1 N HCl	26 ± 0.5	—	$k_s = 1.2-3.6$	—	fi	67
Cd/2 N Cd(II)	—	20 ± 1	$\alpha = 0.50 \pm 0.05$	$i_0 = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	pt fi	56 10
Cd/Cd ²⁺ (15 g CdSO ₄ /100 cm ³ H ₂ O)	0.8 N K ₂ SO ₄	20 ± 1	$\alpha = 0.45 \pm 0.03$	$i_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	—	pt	56
Cd/1 × 10 ⁻³ N Cd(II)	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.13 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = 0.4$ $i_0 = 210 \pm 50^a$	—	dp	55
Cd/Cd(II)	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.13 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = 0.4$ $i_0 = 210 \pm 50^a$	—	vs	55
Cd-Hg/Cd ²⁺	1 M NaClO ₄	0	—	$i_0 = 40^b$	—	gs	68
Cd-Hg/Cd(II)	1 M KNO ₃	20	—	$k_s \sim 0.6$	$\Delta H_s^* \sim 5$	fi	80
Drop. Cd-Hg (1.22 mM Cd)	1 M KNO ₃	25	—	$k_s \sim 1.0$	—	acp	7
Drop. Cd-Hg (1.5 mM Cd)	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25	—	$k_s \sim 1.0$	—	acp	7
Cd-Hg/Cd(II)	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	20	$\alpha = 0.25$	$k_s = 2.6 \times 10^{-3}$	—	vs	97
		—	$\alpha = 0.12$	$k_s = 0.085$	—	fi	77

^a Exchange current at a metal-ion concentration of one mole per litre.^b $C_R = C_0 = 1$ M.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Drop. Cd-Hg/Cd(II)	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	20	$\alpha = 0.17$	$k_s = 4.2 \times 10^{-2}$	—	fi	26, 97
	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	20	$\alpha = 0.22$	$k_s = 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cs	26, 97
	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄	0	—	$t_0 = 5.5^a$	—	gs	68
	1 M K ₂ SO ₄	0	—	$k_s = \sim 0.2$ ($t = 0$) ^b	—	fi	13, 18
Cd-Hg/Cd(II)	1 M K ₂ SO ₄	0	—	$k_s = 0.037$ ($t = 20$) ^b	—	fi	13, 18
	1 M K ₂ SO ₄	0	—	$k_s = 0.016$ ($t = 40$) ^b	—	fi	13, 18
	1 M K ₂ SO ₄	0	—	$k_s = 0.0065$ ($t = 80$) ^b	—	fi	13, 18
	1 M K ₂ SO ₄	0	—	$k_s = 0.0038$ ($t = 120$) ^b	—	fi	13, 18
	1 M KCl	—	$\alpha = \sim 0.7$	$k_s = \sim 5$	—	fi	77
	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2) ^c	22	$\alpha = 0.07$	$k_s = 2.3$	—	fr	4
	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2)	22	$\alpha = 0.13$	$k_{1/2} = 0.43$	—	fr	3
	1 M KNO ₃ (pH 2)	22	$\alpha = 0.12$	$k_{1/2} < 6.4(?)$	—	fr	3
DME/Cd(II)	1 M KNO ₃ (pH 2) ^c	22	$\alpha = 0.15$	$k_s = 6.3$	—	fr	4
	1 M KNO ₃	25 ± 0.1	—	$k_s = 0.6$	—	acp	49
	1 M KNO ₃	25	—	$k_s = \sim 0.4$	—	acp	7
	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.38$	$k_s = 0.25$	—	acp	6
	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25	—	$k_s = \sim 0.3$	—	acp	7
	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25	—	$k_s = \sim 0.1$	—	acp	7
	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.37$	$k_s = 0.08$	—	acp	6
	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄	22	$\alpha = 0.08$	$k_{1/2} = 0.08$	—	fr	3
	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ (pH 2)	22	$\alpha = (0.08)$	$k_{1/2} = 0.25$	—	fr	3
	1 M KF	23.7–25.4	$\alpha = 0.15$	$k_s = 0.165$	—	acp	6
DME/2 × 10 ⁻⁵ M Cd(II)	0.5 M HCl	25	$\alpha = 0.41$	$k_s = \sim 0.5$	—	acp	7
	0.5 M HCl	25	—	$k_s = \sim 0.6$	—	ach	95
	0.1 M KCl	—	$\alpha = 0.50$	—	—	acp	6
	0.1 M KCl	25	$\alpha = 0.44$	—	—	acp	6
	0.5 M KCl	25	$\alpha = 0.45$	$k_s = \sim 1.5$	—	acp	7
	0.5 M KCl	25	—	—	—	acp	6
	1.0 M KCl	25	$\alpha = 0.45$	—	—	acp	6
	1 M KCl (pH 2) ^c	22	$\alpha = 0.78$	$k_s = 2.9$	—	fr	4
	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2) ^c	22	—	—	—	fr	4
	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2)	22	—	—	—	fr	4

^a C_R = C₀ = 1 M.^b The value of t is the time in minutes when k_s is determined, measured from the formation of the electrode. k_s at $t = 0$ was obtained by extrapolation.^c pH adjusted by the addition of HClO₄.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/ 2×10^{-5} M Cd(II)	1 M KCl (pH 2) 1 M KBr (pH 2) 1 M KI (pH 2) 1 M KI sodium hexaphosphate ^a	22 22 22 25 ± 0.1 32	$\alpha = (0.78)$ $\alpha \approx 0.95$ $\alpha \approx 1.0$ — $\alpha n =$ $\{0.56$ $\{0.13$	$k_{1/2} > 2.9$ $k_{1/2} \geq 0.2$ $k_{1/2} \geq 0.2$ $k_s > 1(?)$ $k_e(?) =$ $\{6.9 \times 10^{-9}$ $\{9.1 \times 10^{-8}$	— — — — —	fr fr fr acp dep	3 3 3 49 72
DME/Cd(II)	sodium hexaphosphate ^a	42	$\alpha n =$ $\{0.54$ $\{0.21$	$k_e(?) =$ $\{8.5 \times 10^{-9}$ $\{1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	—	dep	72
DME/CdSO ₄	sodium hexaphosphate ^a	52	$\alpha n =$ $\{0.57$ $\{0.20$	$k_e(?) =$ $\{1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ $\{4.0 \times 10^{-5}$	—	dep	72
	sodium hexaphosphate ^a	62	$\alpha n =$ $\{0.50$ $\{0.24$	$k_e(?) =$ $\{1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ $\{2.2 \times 10^{-8}$	—	dep	72
Pt/3.00 mM Ce(III), 3.00 mM Ce(IV)	3 M HClO ₄	0	—	$i_0 = 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	—	fl	21
Pt/6.00 mM Ce(III), 6.00 mM Ce(IV)	3 M HClO ₄ 3 M HClO ₄ 3 M HClO ₄	0 0 0	— — —	$i_0 = 6.9 \times 10^{-5}$ $i_0 = 4.8 \times 10^{-5}$ $i_0 = 9.6 \times 10^{-5}$	— — —	rt fl fl	21, 22 21 21
Pt/10 mM Ce(III), 10 mM Ce(IV)	3 M HClO ₄ 3 M HClO ₄ 1 N H ₂ SO ₄	0 20 25	— — $\beta = 0.75$	$i_0 = 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ $i_0 = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ $\log i_0 = -4.36$	— — —	rt fl cp	21, 22 21 96
Pt/Ce(IV), Ce(III) Carbon Paste/Ce(IV), Ce(III)	1 M H ₂ SO ₄ 1 M H ₂ SO ₄	25 25	$\alpha = 0.21$ $\alpha = 0.28$	$k_s = 3.7 \times 10^{-4}$ $k_s = 3.8 \times 10^{-4}$	— —	cp ^b cp ^b	23 23
Co/CoCl ₂ (0.1 ~ 2 N) DME/Co(II)	1 M KCl 0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	— — 25(?)	$\alpha = 0.5$ $\alpha n = 0.38$ $\alpha = 0.67$	$i_0 = 8 \times 10^{-7}$ $\log k_e = -9.7^c$ $k_e^o/\sqrt{D} = 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	— — $(\Delta H_e^*)_{E=0} =$ 12.6	— op dep	87 58 99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆]³⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.56$	$k_e^o/\sqrt{D} = 2.6 \times 10^{-2}$	$(\Delta H_e^*)_{E=0} =$ 9.5	dep	99

^a The log-plot gave two intersecting straight lines.

^b With rotating disk electrodes.

^c k_e^o is the rate const. at $E = 0$ V vs 0.1 N calomel electrode.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
	1 M HNO ₃ + NaNO ₃ + Th ⁴⁺ ($\mu = 2$) ^a	0	—	$k^{\circ} = 4 \times 10^{-5}$ $\text{Th}^{\circ} = 6.6 \times 10^{-5}$	—	dcp	100
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ NO ₂] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.53$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 1.7 \times 10^{-1}$	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 9.3	dcp	100
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ NO ₂] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 1 \times 10^{-3}$	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 15.2	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ F] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.51$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 4.2 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 11.2	dcp	99
	1.4 M HClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.51$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 6.8	dcp	99
	1 M HNO ₃ + NaNO ₃ + Th ⁴⁺ or La ³⁺ ($\mu = 2$)	0	—	$k^{\circ} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ ^a $\text{Th}^{\circ} k^{\circ} = 9.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ^a $\text{La}^{\circ} k^{\circ} = 5.7 \times 10^{-5}$ ^a	—	dcp	100
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ FO] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.63$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 4.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 7.5	dcp	100
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ Ac] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.65$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 8.3	dcp	100
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ PO] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.64$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 9.5 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 8.5	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ N] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.55$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 1.1 \times 10^{-1}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 10.5	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ (ONO)] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.5$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 7 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 15	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ (OxH)] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 9.2 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 12.7	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ (FuH)] ²⁺	1.4 M HClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.73$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 6.7 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 12.5	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ (MaH)] ²⁺	1.4 M HClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.76$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 12.5	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ (FuCH ₃)] ²⁺	1.4 M HClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.71$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 6.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 12.0	dcp	99
DME/[Co(NH ₃) ₆ SO ₄] ²⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha = 0.49$	$k_e^{\circ}/\sqrt{D} = 3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ ^b	$(\Delta H_e^{\circ})_{\text{E}=\text{O}} =$ 9.3	dcp	99

^a The cathodic rate const. in the presence of Th⁴⁺ and La³⁺ is represented by the equation $k = k^{\circ} + M^{\circ}k^{\circ}[M^{n+}]$, where k° is the rate const. in a solution without polyvalent cations. The rate const. are related to the potential of 0.1 M calomel electrode at 0°C.

^b k_e° is the rate const. at $E = 0$ V vs 0.1 N calomel electrode.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
	1 M HNO ₃ + NaNO ₃ + Th ⁴⁺ or La ³⁺ (μ = 2)	0	—	$k^\circ = 9.55 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $\text{th } k^\circ = 5.3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$	—	dcp	100
DME/cis- [Co(NH ₃) ₄ (H ₂ O) ₂] ³⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	α = 0.55	$k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 1.06 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$ $k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 2.8^b$	(ΔH _e [‡]) _{E=0} = 8.0	dcp	100
DME/trans- [Co(NH ₃) ₄ (H ₂ O) ₂] ³⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	α = 0.52	$k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 8.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$	(ΔH _e [‡]) _{E=0} = 7.5	dcp	99
DME/cis- [Co(NH ₃) ₄ (NO ₂) ₂] ³⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	α = 0.54	$k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$	(ΔH _e [‡]) _{E=0} = 14.2	dcp	99
DME/trans- [Co(NH ₃) ₄ (NO ₂) ₂] ³⁺	0.14 M HClO ₄ + 1.26 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	α = 0.6	$k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$	(ΔH _e [‡]) _{E=0} = 15.5	dcp	99
DME/cis- [Co(NH ₃) ₄ Ac ₂] ³⁺	1.4 M HClO ₄	25(?)	α = 0.55	$k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 2.5 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$	(ΔH _e [‡]) _{E=0} = 6.0	dcp	99
DME/trans- [Co(NH ₃) ₄ Ac ₂] ³⁺	1.4 M HClO ₄	25(?)	α = 0.52	$k_e^\circ/\sqrt{D} = 3.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1/2}$	(ΔH _e [‡]) _{E=0} = 4.8	dcp	99
DME/Cr ³⁺ , Cr ²⁺	0.1 M HClO ₄ + 0.9 M NaClO ₄	—	α = 0.45	log $k_e^\circ = -5.0$	—	dcp	78
Hg/Cr(II), Cr(III)	1 M KCl	20	—	$k_e^\circ = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$	—	fi	79
DME/Cr(III), Cr(II)	KCl	—	—	$8.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$	—	dcp	71
DME/Cr(III)	1 M KCl	—	α _{II} = 0.40	log $k_e^\circ = -7.1$	—	op	58
DME/Cr(IV), Cr(III)	KCl	—	—	$5.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$	—	dcp	71
DME/Cr(VI), Cr(IV)	KCl	—	—	$2.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$	—	dcp	71
DME/1.0 mM chromate	0.10 F NaOH	25(?)	α _{II} = 0.35	$k_e^\circ = 1.2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ s}^{-1}$	—	dcp	63
Hg/5 mM Cr(CN) ₆ ³⁻ , 5 mM Cr(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻	0.1 M KCN	30	—	$k_e^\circ = 0.018$	—	fi	14
	0.1 M KCN + 0.1 M KCl	30	—	$k_e^\circ = 0.052$	—	fi	14
	0.1 M KCN + 0.1 M KCN + 0.3 M KCl	30	—	$k_e^\circ = 0.14$	—	fi	14
	0.1 M KCN + 0.5 M KCl	30	—	$k_e^\circ = 0.31$	—	fi	14
	0.1 M KCN + 0.7 M KCl	30	—	$k_e^\circ = 0.91$	—	fi	14

^a The cathodic rate const. in the presence of Th⁴⁺ and La³⁺ is represented by the equation $k = k^\circ + \mu k^\circ [M^{n+}]$, where k° is the rate const. in a solution without polyvalent cations. The rate const. are related to the potential of 0.1 M calomel electrode at 0°C.

^b k_e° is the rate const. at $E = 0 \text{ V vs } 0.1 \text{ N calomel electrode}$.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/Cr(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻ , Cr(CN) ₆ ³⁻	0.2 M NaCN	30	$\alpha = 0.67$	$i_0 = 3.9 \times 10^{-8}$	—	fi	16
Hg/Cr(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻ , Cr(CN) ₆ ³⁻	1 M KCN	20	—	$k_s = 2.5 \times 10^{-1}$ ^a	ΔH_s^* small	fi	79
Hg/5 mM K ₃ Cr(CN) ₆	0.5 M KCN + 3 M KCl	25	$\alpha = 0.53-0.54$	$k_s = 1.5 - 1.0$ ₀	—	fr	41
DME/1.00 mM K ₃ Cr(CN) ₆	1.0 M KCN	30	$\alpha = 0.45 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = 0.22$	—	acp	89, 90
Drop. Tl-Hg(10% Tl)/ Cr(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻ , Cr(CN) ₆ ³⁻	0.2 M NaCN	30	—	$i_0 = 3.45 \times 10^{-8}$	—	fi	16
Drop. Tl-Hg(31% Tl)/ Cr(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻ , Cr(CN) ₆ ³⁻	0.2 M NaCN	30	$\alpha = 0.73$	$i_0 = 4.8 \times 10^{-8}$	—	fi	16
DME/5 mM Cr(SCN) ₆ ³⁻	7 M KSCN	—	—	$k_s = 0.01$	—	dcp ^b	50
	3 M KSCN	—	—	$k_s = 0.007$	—	dcp ^b	50
	1 M KSCN	—	—	$k_s = 0.002$	—	dcp ^b	50
	0.3 M KSCN	—	—	$k_s = 0.004$	—	dcp ^b	50
	0.1 M KSCN	—	—	$k_s = 0.004$	—	dcp ^b	50
Hg/Cs ⁺	1 M N(CH ₃) ₄ OH	20	—	$k_s = 0.2^c$	—	fi	80
DME/0.026 M CuCl	1 M NH ₄ OH + 1 M NH ₄ NO ₃ + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.55$	$k_s = 9.75 \times 10^{-8}$ ^d	—	dcp	54
DME/0.035 M CuCl	1 M NH ₄ OH + 1 M NH ₄ NO ₃ + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.10$	$k_s = 3.64 \times 10^{-4}$ ^e	—	dcp	54
Cu/0.001 M Cu(NO ₃) ₂	—	20	$\alpha n = 0.22$	$i_0 = 10^{-9}$ ^f	—	—	11, 74
Cu/0.01 M Cu(NO ₃) ₂	—	20	$\alpha n = 0.55$	$i_0 = 10^{-11}$	$\Delta H_0^* = 20^g$	—	11, 74
Cu/0.1 M Cu(NO ₃) ₂	—	20	$\alpha n = 0.62$	$i_0 = 10^{-11}$	$\Delta H_0^* = 30^g$	—	11, 74
Cu/1 M Cu(NO ₃) ₂	—	16	$\alpha n = 0.69$	—	—	—	11, 74
	—	20	$\alpha n = 0.76$	$i_0 = 10^{-10}$	—	—	11, 74
	—	27	$\alpha n = 0.76$	—	—	—	11, 74
	—	37	$\alpha n = 0.84$	—	—	—	11, 74
	—	47	$\alpha n = 0.94$	—	$\Delta H_0^* = 36^g$	—	11, 74

^a Measured at the half-wave potential in a solution containing 5 mM K₃Cr(CN)₆.^b Kalousek's method.^c k_s was determined at the potential where the impedance is minimum.^d Reduction of Cu(I) to Cu(Hg).^e Oxidation of Cu(I) to Cu(II).^f $\log i_0$ is constant from 23–58°C.^g ΔH_0^* seems to be the heat of activation determined from the temperature dependence of i_0 .

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Cu/1 M CuSO ₄ Cu/Cu ²⁺ -aq	—	room temp.	0.5	$\log i_0 = -4.7$	—	—	73
	0.1 N H ₂ SO ₄	0	$\alpha = 0.5$	$i_0 = (2.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_a^* = 15.2$	gs	35, 37
	0.1 N H ₂ SO ₄	15	$\alpha = 0.5$	$i_0 = (1.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-2}$	$(\Delta H_a^*)_{E=0} = 30.6$	gs	35, 37
	0.1 N H ₂ SO ₄	20	$\alpha = 0.5$	$i_0 = (23.9 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$	$(\Delta H_a^*)_{E=0} = 30.6$	gs	35, 37
Cu/0.01 M CuSO ₄	0.1 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.5$	$i_0 = (80.5 \pm 2.1) \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta S_a^* = -40$	gs	35, 37
	0.1 N H ₂ SO ₄	35	$\alpha = 0.5$	$i_0 = (5.4 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta S_a^* = -40$	gs	35, 37
	0.1 N H ₂ SO ₄	45	$\alpha = 0.5$	$i_0 = (1.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1}$	cal/°K	gs	35, 37
	M KNO ₃ + 0.05 M H ₂ SO ₄ (I)	—	—	$i_0 = 2.3$	—	fi	33
Cu/0.025 M CuSO ₄	(I) + 0.0025% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 2.1$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.0125% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 2.0$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.05% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 2.0$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.125% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.2$	—	fi	33
Cu/0.05 M CuSO ₄	(I) + 0.025% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.8$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.05% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.7$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.125% gel	—	—	$i_0 = (4.6)$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.25% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.9$	—	fi	33
Cu/0.025 M CuSO ₄	(I) + 0.0125% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.8$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.025% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.2$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.05% gel	—	—	$i_0 = 3.2$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.0025% CTAB	—	—	$i_0 = 5.2$	—	fi	33
Cu/0.025 M CuSO ₄	(I) + 0.0125% CTAB	—	—	$i_0 = (7.6)$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.05% CTAB	—	—	$i_0 = 3.5$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.0025% SDS	—	—	$i_0 = 10.0$	—	fi	33
	(I) + 0.0125% SDS	—	—	$i_0 = (23)$	—	fi	33
Cu-Hg/Cu(II) Cu-Hg/Cu(NH ₂) ₂ ⁺ Cu-Hg/Cu(en) ₂ ²⁺ DME/Cu(II)	1 M KNO ₃	20	—	$k_s = 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$	$\Delta H_a^* = 9.0$	fi	80
	1 M NH ₄ Cl + 1 M NH ₄ Cl	20	—	$k_s = 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$	$\Delta H_a^* = 5.0$	fi	80
	1 M enHCl + 1 M en	20	—	$k_s = 3.7 \times 10^{-2}$	$\Delta H_a^* = 0.0$	fi	80
	0.1 M HClO ₄ + 0.05% Triton X-100	25	$\alpha n = 0.59$	$k_s = 4.4 \times 10^{-5}$	—	dcp	51
DME/0.010 M CuSO ₄	0.1 M HClO ₄ + 0.05% dodecylamine	25	$\alpha n = 0.54$	$k_s = 3.6 \times 10^{-14}$	—	dcp	52
	1 M KNO ₃	25 ± 0.1	—	$k_s = 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$	—	acp	49
	1 M NH ₄ OH + 1 M NH ₄ Cl + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.27$	$k_s = 1.03 \times 10^{-6}$	—	dcp	54

* The electrode potential *E* is referred to the hydrogen scale.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/0.025 M CuSO ₄	1 M NH ₄ OH + 1 M NH ₄ NO ₃ + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.37$	$k_s = 2.28 \times 10^{-6}$	—	dcp	54
DME/0.047 M CuSO ₄	1 M KNO ₃ + 1.0 M en + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.92$	$k_s = 1.12 \times 10^{-5}$	—	dcp	54
DME/0.046 M CuSO ₄	1 M KNO ₃ + 0.95 M propylenediamine + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.93$	$k_s = 9.44 \times 10^{-6}$	—	dcp	54
	0.10 M KCl + 0.10 M EDTA + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.43$	$k_s = 1.43 \times 10^{-9}$	—	dcp	54
DME/0.045 M CuSO ₄	1 M NH ₄ OH + 1 M NH ₄ NO ₃ + 0.71 M EDTA + sat. camphor	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.47$	$k_s = 8.75 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dcp	54
Hg/Eu ²⁺ , Eu ³⁺	0.026 M ClO ₄ ⁻	—	$\alpha n = 1.0$ ($\beta = 0$)	—	—	fi	78
DME/10 ⁻³ M Eu(III)	1 M HClO ₄ 10 ⁻³ M HClO ₄ + 0.03–1.00 M NaClO ₄	—	$\alpha n = 0.62–0.46$ $\alpha = 0.49 \pm 0.03$	—	—	dcp	78
Hg/Eu(II), Eu(III)	1 M KCl 1 M KI 1 M KSCN	20 20 20	— — —	$k_s^0 = 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ $k_s = 2 \times 10^{-5}$ $k_s = 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ $k_s = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_s = 8.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 9.0$ $\Delta H_s^* = 9.0$ $\Delta H_s^* = 7.5$	fi fi fi	79 79 79
Fe/Fe(II) Fe/1 M FeSO ₄ Fe/Fe ²⁺ _{aq}	— — —	— room temp. 0	$\alpha = 0.5$ $\alpha = 0.5$	$v_0 = 10^{-13}$ g-ion/s/cm ² $\log i_0 = -8.0$ $\log i_i = 2.342$	— — —	— — gs	84 73 35, 36
	—	25	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\log i_i = 4.046$	$\Delta H_s^* = 23$ $\Delta H_s^* = 2$ $(\Delta H_s^*)_{T=0} = 44$ $\Delta S_s^* = +12$ cal/°K	gs	35, 36
	—	50	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\log i_i = 4.851$	—	gs	35, 36
Pt/Fe ²⁺ _{aq} , Fe ³⁺ _{aq}	1 M HClO ₄	20	—	$k_s = 5 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 9$	fi	79
Pt/Fe(III)	1 M HClO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.63$	$k_s = 2.2 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp	44
Pt/Fe(III), Fe(II)	0.1 M HClO ₄ 0.1 M H ₂ SO ₄	25 25	$\alpha = 0.78 \pm 0.04$ $\alpha = 0.60, \beta = 0.39$	$k_s = (1.1 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ $k_s = 4.3 \times 10^{-3}$	— —	cp ^d cp ^e	47 23

^a Rate constant at $\phi = 0$ where ϕ is the potential defined by $\phi = E_{\text{scz}} + 0.450$ V. E_{scz} means the electrode potential in V vs SCE.

^b i_0 : Rate of ionization and discharge of Fe(II) at equilibrium. $v = v_0(e^{-\Delta\epsilon/\phi} - e^{\Delta\epsilon/\phi})$, $b' = RT/\alpha nF$, $\Delta\epsilon$ = polarization voltage.

^c The electrode potential E is referred to the hydrogen scale.

^d Hydrodynamic voltammetry.

^e With rotating disk electrode.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Pt/0.002 M Fe ²⁺ , 0.002 M Fe ³⁺	1 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.583$	$k_s = 0.033$	$\Delta H_s^* = 5.1$	fr	1
	1 N H ₂ SO ₄	30	$\alpha = 0.585$	$k_s = 0.038$		fr	1
	1 N H ₂ SO ₄	35	$\alpha = 0.586$	$k_s = 0.044$		fr	1
	1 N H ₂ SO ₄	40	$\alpha = 0.587$	$k_s = 0.050$		fr	1
	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.62$	$k_s = (4.7 \times 10^{-3}) \times 10^3$ A/cm ² /mole		cps	101
Pt/Fe(II), Fe(III)	1 F H ₂ SO ₄	25 ± 2	—	$k_s = 5.3 \times 10^{-3}$ $\alpha_i = 5.26 \times 10^3$ A/cm ² /mole	—	gs	2
	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.61$	$\log i_0 = -2.3$	—	cs	101
	2 N H ₂ SO ₄	—	$\beta = 0.58$	—	—	—	73, 96
	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.46$	$k_s = 4.3 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^a	23
	4 M H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\beta = 0.53$ $\alpha = 0.44$ $\beta = 0.55$ $\alpha = 0.55$ $\beta = 0.45$	$k_s = 3.1 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^a	23
Carbon Paste/Fe(II), Fe(III)	1 M H ₂ SO ₄ + 2.8 M Na ₂ SO ₄	25	—	$k_s = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^a	23
	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	25	—	$k_s = 5.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 4.5×10^{-5}	—	cp ^a	23
	1 F NaHSO ₄ 1 F Na ₂ SO ₄	25 ± 2	—	$k_s = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_s = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	op	23
	0.1 N NaClO ₄ + 0.1 M HCl	25 ± 2	—	$k_s = 8.5 \times 10^{-5}$	—	gs	2
	3.9 M NaClO ₄ + 0.1 M HCl	25	—	$k_s = 1.41 \times 10^{-4}$	—	gs	2
Carbon Paste/Fe(III), Fe(II)	3.9 M NaClO ₄ + 0.09 M HClO ₄ + 0.01 M HCl	25	—	$k_s = 1.37 \times 10^{-4}$	—	cp ^a	23
	3.4 M NaClO ₄ + 0.1 M HCl + 0.5 M NaCl	25	—	$k_s = 1.41 \times 10^{-4}$	—	cp ^a	23
	3.8 M KCl + 0.1 M HCl	25	—	$k_s = 2.29 \times 10^{-4}$	—	cp ^a	23
	3.9 M LiCl + 0.1 M HCl	25	—	$k_s = 2.19 \times 10^{-4}$	—	cp ^a	23
	0.1 M HCl	25	—	$k_s = (7.4 \times 10) \times 10^{-6}$	—	cp ^a	23

^a With rotating disk electrodes.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate of constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Graphite/Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻ , Fe(CN) ₆ ³⁻	—	20	$\alpha = 0.50 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = (6 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$ $\sigma_i = 5.8 \times 10^{-1}$	—	cp	82
Pt/Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻ , Fe(CN) ₆ ³⁻	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ 0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ 1 M KCl 1 M KCl 1 M KCl	20 25 20 25 25	— $\alpha = 0.56$ — $\alpha = 0.61$ $\alpha = 0.45$	$k_s = 13 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_s = 7.3 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_s = 9 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_s = 5.2 \times 10^{-3}$ $\sigma_i = 0.92 \times 10^{-4}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 4$ $\Delta H_s^* = 4$ — — —	fi cp fi cp cps	79 44 79 44 101
	1 M KCl	25	$\alpha = 0.50$	$\sigma_i = 1.0 \times 10^4$	—	ccs	101
Pt/Fe(CN) ₆ ³⁻	1 M KCl	25	—	$k_s = 0.08 \pm 0.01$	—	cp ^a	47
Carbon Paste/Fe(CN) ₆ ³⁻ , Fe(CN) ₆ ⁴⁻	0.1 M KCl	25	—	$k_s = 1.48 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^b	23
Pt/FeOx ₃ ⁴⁻ , FeOx ₃ ³⁻	0.3 M KCl	25	—	$k_s = 2.65 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^b	23
Hg/FeOx ₃ ⁴⁻ , FeOx ₃ ³⁻	1 M KCl	25	—	$k_s = 7.08 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^b	23
Ag/FeOx ₃ ⁴⁻ , FeOx ₃ ³⁻	1 M LiCl	25	—	$k_s = 1.06 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^b	23
Ag/FeOx ₃ ⁴⁻ , FeOx ₃ ³⁻	0.5 M K ₂ Ox	20	—	$k_s = 9 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 3$	fi	79
Au/FeOx ₃ ⁴⁻ , FeOx ₃ ³⁻	0.5 M K ₂ Ox	20	—	$k_s > 1$	—	fi	79
	0.5 M K ₂ Ox	20	—	$k_s = 1 \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	79
	0.5 M K ₂ Ox	20	—	$k_s = 5 \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	79
Hg/Hg ₂ ²⁺	1 M HClO ₄	0	$\alpha = 0.4 \pm 0.1$	$k_s > 1.5$	$\Delta H_s^* = 10 \pm 1$	ps	29
Hg/1 mM Hg ₂ ²⁺	0.98 M HClO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.24$	$i_0 = 0.248$	—	dp	62
Hg/1 mM Hg ₂ (NO ₃) ₂	2 N HClO ₄	room temp.	$\beta = 0.6$	$\log i_0 = -0.7$	—	—	73
Hg(L)/Hg ₂ ²⁺	1 M HClO ₄	0	$\beta = 0.7 \pm 0.03$	$\sigma_i = 14 \pm 1$	$\Delta H_s^* = 2 \pm 0.5$ (0-45°)	dp	28
Hg(S)/Hg ₂ ²⁺	1 M HClO ₄	25	$\beta = 0.7 \pm 0.03$	$\sigma_i = 16.5 \pm 1.5$	—	dp	28
DME/Hg(I)	45% HClO ₄	— 38.8	$\beta = 0.7 \pm 0.03$	$\sigma_i = 10 \pm 1$	—	dp	28
	45% HClO ₄	— 38.8	$\beta = 0.7 \pm 0.03$	$\sigma_i = 8.5 \pm 1$	—	dp	28
	0.1 M HClO ₄	24 ± 2	$\alpha = 0.28$	$k_s = 0.28$	—	fr	42
	0.2 M HClO ₄	24 ± 2	$\alpha = 0.28$	$k_s = 0.35$	—	fr	42
	1.1 M HClO ₄	24 ± 2	$\alpha = 0.28$	$k_s = 1.3$	—	fr	42
Pt/I ⁻	0.1 M HClO ₄	25	—	$k_s = (3 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3}$ cm ² /s/mole ^c	—	cp ^a	45, 46

^a Hydrodynamic voltammetry.^b With rotating disk electrodes.^c Second-order rate constant obtained from the electro-oxidation of iodide.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Pt/I ₂ Hg/0.1 mM IO ₃ ⁻	0.1 M HClO ₄	25	$1 - \alpha = 0.83 \pm 0.08$	$(k'_{ox})^0 = (1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^a	47
	0.1 M HClO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.17 \pm 0.08$	$k_s = 60 \pm 20$	—	cp ^a	45, 46
	0.1 M KCl + acetate buff. (pH = 4.72)	25	$\alpha n = 0.39$	—	—	cp	19
	0.1 M KCl + phosphate buff. (pH = 7.21)	25	$\alpha n = 0.26$	—	—	cp	19
	0.1 M KCl + phosphate buff. (pH = 12.3)	25	$\alpha n = 0.69$	—	—	cp	19
DME/1 mM KIO ₃	HClO ₄ (pH 0.94) + 0.01% gel	30	$(\alpha n = 1.13)$	—	$\Delta G^* = 34.6$	dcp	17
	Britton-Robinson buff. (pH 1.91) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.59$	—	$\Delta G^* = 25.3$	dcp	17
	Britton-Robinson buff. (pH 4.06) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.53$	—	$\Delta G^* = 25.4$	dcp	17
	Britton-Robinson buff. (pH 6.03) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.28$	—	$\Delta G^* = 21.4$	dcp	17
	Britton-Robinson buff. (pH 7.82) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.31$	—	$\Delta G^* = 23.5$	dcp	17
	Britton-Robinson buff. (pH 9.69) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.61$	—	$\Delta G^* = 33.1$	dcp	17
	Britton-Robinson buff. (pH 11.6) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.63$	—	$\Delta G^* = 32.7$	dcp	17
	KOH (pH 13.7) + 0.01% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.61$	—	$\Delta G^* = 31.0$	dcp	17
	KOH (pH 13.7) + 0.001% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.89$	—	$\Delta G^* = 36.6$	dcp	17
	KOH (pH 13.7) + 0.005% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.76$	—	$\Delta G^* = 34.0$	dcp	17

^a Hydrodynamic voltammetry.^b Rate const. of the reaction $2I^- \rightarrow I_2 + 2e$ at the standard potential.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
In-Hg/In(III) DME/In(III)	KOH (pH 13.7) + 1.0% gel.	30	$\alpha n = 0.60$	—	$\Delta G^* = 31.7$	dcp	17
	sulphate solns. (pH 2.5)	—	$\alpha = 0.83^a$	—	—	gs	57
	0.48 M HClO ₄	25	$\alpha n = 0.66$	$k_c^0 = 4.4 \times 10^{-9} b$	—	dcp	39
	0.86 M H ₃ PO ₄	25	$\alpha n = 0.60$	$k_c^0 = 6.6 \times 10^{-11} b$	—	dcp	39
	0.5 M ClO ₄ ⁻	20	$\alpha n = 0.66$	$k_c^0 = 2.2 \times 10^{-13} b$	—	dcp	43
	0.5 M ClO ₄ ⁻	26.5	$\alpha n = 0.66$	$k_c^0 = 4.7 \times 10^{-13} b$	$(\Delta H_c^*)_{B=0} = 21.1$	dcp	43
	0.5 M ClO ₄ ⁻	30	$\alpha n = 0.65$	$k_c^0 = 7.3 \times 10^{-13} b$	—	dcp	43
Hg/K ⁺	1 M N(CH ₃) ₄ OH	20	—	$k_s \sim 0.1^c$	—	fi	80
Pt/0.01 M Mn(II), 0.01 M Mn(III)	15 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\beta = 0.72$	$\log i_0 = -5.0$	—	—	73, 96
Carbon Paste/MnO ₄ ²⁻ , MnO ₄ ²⁻	0.9 M NaOH	25	—	$k_s = 1.45 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^d	23
Hg/Mn(II) DME/Mn(II)	0.9 M LiOH	25	—	$k_s = 6.92 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp ^d	23
	0.1 M KCl	—	$\alpha = 0.97$	—	—	ach	95
	1 M KCl	—	$\alpha n = 1.0$	$\log k_s = -2.4$	—	op	58
Hg/Na ⁺	1 M N(CH ₃) ₄ OH	20	—	$k_s \sim 0.4^c$	—	fi	80
Ni/1 M NiSO ₄ , Ni/0.01 N NiSO ₄	—	room temp.	$\beta = 0.5$	$\log i_0 = -8.7$	—	—	73
	2.0 N H ₂ SO ₄ (pH 0.0 ₀)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 8.3 \times 10^{-10}$	—	cp	34
	0.2 N H ₂ SO ₄ (pH 0.8 ₀)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 2.4 \times 10^{-9}$	—	cp	34
	2.0 N Na ₂ SO ₄ (pH 5.8 ₀)	—	84 mV ^e	$i_0 = 4.2 \times 10^{-8}$	—	cp	34
	acetate buff. (pH 2.3)	20	$\alpha n = 0.52$	$i_0 = 10^{-6}$	—	—	11, 86
	acetate buff. (pH 4.4)	20	$\alpha n = 0.72$	$i_0 = 10^{-6}$	—	—	11, 86
	acetate buff. (pH 5.5)	20	$\alpha n = 0.89$	$i_0 = 10^{-7}$	—	—	11, 86
	acetate buff. (pH 6.7)	20	$\alpha n = 0.56$	$i_0 = 10^{-5}$	—	—	11, 86
	acetate buff. (pH 7.9)	20	$\alpha n = 0.62$	$i_0 = 10^{-6}$	—	—	11, 86
	2.0 N HCl (pH -0.28)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp	34
Ni/0.01 M NiCl ₂	1.0 N HCl + 1.0 N KCl (pH 0.0 ₁)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 6.9 \times 10^{-9}$	—	cp	34

^a Cathodic transfer coefficient of the reaction, $\text{In}^{3+} + e \rightleftharpoons \text{In}^{2+}$.

^b Rate constant referred to 0 V vs NHE.

^c k_s was determined at the potential where the impedance was minimum.

^d With rotating disk electrodes.

^e The value of $dE/d \log i$ for the anodic polarization curve.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Ni/Ni(II)	0.2 N HCl + 1.5 N KCl (pH 0.6)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$	—	cp	34
	0.2 N HCl (pH 0.67)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 3.9 \times 10^{-9}$	—	cp	34
	2 N KCl (pH 5.9)	—	$\beta = 0.35-0.40$	$i_0 = 1.0 \times 10^{-8}$	—	cp	34
	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.25 \pm 0.06$	$k_s = 0.1$	—	dp	55
DME/Ni(II)	0.1 M NaClO ₄ + 0.01 % polyacrylamide	25	$\alpha n = 0.65$	$i_0 = 110 \pm 20^a$ $k_s = 3.3 \times 10^{-9}$	—	dcp	70
DME/0.0254 M Ni(ClO ₄) ₂ ($E = -0.75$ to -0.86) ^b ($E = -0.86$ to -0.99) ^b ($E = -0.98$ to -1.06) ^b ($E = -0.75$ to -0.86) ^b ($E = -0.86$ to -0.98) ^b ($E = -0.97$ to -1.01) ^b ($E = -1.01$ to -1.09) ^b ($E = -1.26$ to -1.30) ^b ($E = -1.31$ to -1.35) ^b ($E = -0.730$ to -0.86) ^b ($E = -0.86$ to -0.98) ^b ($E = -0.74$ to -0.86) ^b ($E = -0.86$ to -1.00) ^b ($E = -0.74$ to -0.86) ^b ($E = -0.86$ to -0.98) ^b ($E = -0.71$ to -0.86) ^b ($E = -0.86$ to -0.98) ^b	0.50 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.50$	$k_s = 5.14 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.73$	$k_s = 7.61 \times 10^{-10 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 1.15$	$k_s = 6.06 \times 10^{-10 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.63$	$k_s = 1.22 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.77$	$k_s = 3.02 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.67$	$k_s = 7.17 \times 10^{-12 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 1.18$	$k_s = 2.92 \times 10^{-18 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.70$	$k_s = 1.09 \times 10^{-16 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 1.20$	$k_s = 1.18 \times 10^{-10 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.57$	$k_s = 3.18 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.73$	$k_s = 3.44 \times 10^{-10 c}$	—	dcp	54
		25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.46$	$k_s = 8.05 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
DME/Ni(II)	1.00 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.70$	$k_s = 2.41 \times 10^{-10 c}$	—	dcp	54
	2.00 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.39$	$k_s = 3.53 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
	2.00 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.64$	$k_s = 1.03 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
	3.00 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.47$	$k_s = 1.69 \times 10^{-8 c}$	—	dcp	54
	3.00 M NaClO ₄	25(?)	$\alpha n = 0.64$	$k_s = 1.56 \times 10^{-9 c}$	—	dcp	54
	0.1 N KNO ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.4$	$\log k_s = -13.7^d$ $\log k_s = (-10)$	—	dcp	66
	0.1 M KNO ₃ + 0.01 % gel.	25	$\alpha = 0.40$	$\log k_s = -13.7^d$	—	dcp	59, 64

^a Exchange current at a metal-ion concentration of one mole per litre.^b Electrode potential in V vs SCE.^c Rate const. referred to standard potential rather than standard amalgam potential.^d Rate constant referred to 0 V vs NHE.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Drop. Ni-Hg/Ni(II) DME/Ni(II)	0.1 M KNO ₃ + 4 μM LEO	25	$\alpha n = 0.85$	$\log k_e^\circ = -13.7^a$	—	dcp	69
	0.5 M KNO ₃ + 4 μM LEO	25	$(\alpha n = 0.78)$	$(\log k_e^\circ = -13.4)^a$	—	dcp	69
	nitrate melt	140	—	$(k_e = 4.2 \times 10^{-8})$	—	fi	81
	nitrate melt	140	$\alpha = 0.41$	$k_e = 6.2 \times 10^{-8}$	—	fi	81
	0.12 M NaCl + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.74$	$k_e^\circ = 1 \times 10^{-13}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.18 M NaCl + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.69$	$k_e^\circ = 5 \times 10^{-13}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.24 M NaCl + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.63$	$k_e^\circ = 2.7 \times 10^{-12}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.1 M KCl + 2 μM LEO	25	$\alpha n = 0.84$	$\log k_e^\circ = -13.5^a$	—	dcp	69
	0.12 M NaBr + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.75$	$k_e^\circ = 1 \times 10^{-13}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.18 M NaBr + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.69$	$k_e^\circ = 4 \times 10^{-13}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.24 M NaBr + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.63$	$k_e^\circ = 2.7 \times 10^{-12}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.18 M NaI + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.69$	$k_e^\circ = 7 \times 10^{-13}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.24 M NaI + 0.005% MC	25	$\alpha n = 0.61$	$k_e^\circ = 8 \times 10^{-13}^a$	—	dcp	39
	0.1 M KI + 4 μM LEO	25	$(\alpha n = 0.87)$	$(\log k_e^\circ = -14.3)^a$	—	dcp	69
	0.4 M KI + 4 μM LEO	25	$(\alpha n = 0.76)$	$(\log k_e^\circ = -13.1)^a$	—	dcp	69
	0.1 N KNO ₃ + 1 N NH ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\log k_e^\circ = -16.8^a$ $\log k_s = (-7.0)$	—	dcp	66
	0.2-3 M NH ₃ + 0.1 M KNO ₃ + 0.01% gel.	25	$\alpha = 0.71$	$\log k_e^\circ = -16.8^a$	—	dcp	59, 64
	0.1 N KNO ₃ + 1 M en + 0.02% gel.	25	$(\alpha = 0.5)$	$\log k_e^\circ = -17.6^a$ $\log k_s = (-6.5)$	—	dcp	66
	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.38 \pm 0.08$	$k_e = 0.01$ $i_0 = 30 \pm 15^b$	—	dp	55

^a Rate constant referred to 0 V vs NHE.^b Exchange current at a metal-ion concentration of one mole per litre.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Pb-Hg/Pb(II)	1 M HClO ₄	—	$\alpha = 0.5$	$k_p = 2.0$	—	fi	77
	1 M HClO ₄	—	$\alpha = 0.55$	$k_p = 1.0$	—	fi	78
	1 M KNO ₃	20	—	$k_p > 1$	—	fi	80
DME/Pb(II)	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2) ^a	22	$\alpha = 0.60$	$k_p = 3.3$	—	fr	4
DME/2 × 10 ⁻⁵ M Pb(II)	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2)	22	($\alpha = 0.6$)	$k_{1/2}$ = slightly > 3.3	—	fr	3
DME/Pb(II)	1 M KNO ₃ (pH 2) ^a	22	$\alpha = 0.81$	$k_p = 0.8$	—	fr	4
DME/2 × 10 ⁻⁵ M Pb(II)	1 M KNO ₃ (pH 2)	22	($\alpha = 0.81$)	$k_p > 0.8$	—	fr	3
DME/Pb(II)	1 M KNO ₃	25 ± 0.1	—	$k_{1/2} > 1$	—	acp	49
DME/2 × 10 ⁻⁵ M Pb(II)	1 M KF (sat. PbF ₂)	22	$\alpha = 0.5$	$k_{1/2} \approx 5$	—	fr	3
Hg/Pb(II)	0.1 M KCl	—	$\alpha = 0.54$	—	—	ach	95
DME/Pb(II)	1 M KCl (pH 2) ^a	22	$\alpha = 0.94$	$k_p = 0.2$	—	fr	4
DME/2 × 10 ⁻⁵ M Pb(II)	1 M KCl (pH 2)	22	($\alpha = 0.94$)	$k_{1/2} \gg 0.2$	—	fr	3
	1 M KBr (pH 2)	22	$\alpha \approx 0.97$	$k_{1/2} \gg 0.2$	—	fr	3
	1 M KI (pH 2)	22	$\alpha \approx 1.0$	$k_{1/2} \gg 0.2$	—	fr	3
DME/Pb(NO ₃) ₂	sodium hexaphosphate	27	$\alpha n = 0.37$	$k_p(?) = 2.0 \times 10^{-8}$	—	dcp	72
	sodium hexaphosphate	32	$\alpha n = 0.34$	$k_p(?) = 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$	—	dcp	72
	sodium hexaphosphate	42	$\alpha n = 0.35$	$k_p(?) = 1.7 \times 10^{-8}$	—	dcp	72
	sodium hexaphosphate	52	$\alpha n = 0.26$	$k_p(?) = 2.2 \times 10^{-7}$	—	dcp	72
Pt/Pt(II)	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.27 \pm 0.06$	$k_p = 0.03$	—	dp	55
				$i_0 = 40 \pm 10^b$	—		
DME/Ti(IV), Ti(III)	0.23 M HCl + 0.005% gel.	16-17	$\alpha = 0.427 \pm$ 0.006 $\beta = 0.558 \pm$ 0.015	$i_0 = 0.78 \text{ mA/cm}^2/\text{mM}$ (at pH 0)	—	dcp	91
Hg/Ti(III), Ti(IV)	1 M tartaric acid	20	—	$k_p = 9.0 \times 10^{-8}$	—	fi	79
DME/0.1-1 mM Ti(IV)	0.065 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.56-0.67$	—	—	dcp	93
DME/Ti(IV)	0.02 M HCl + 0.8 M NaCl + 0.002% Triton X-305	25	$1 - \alpha = 0.41 \pm$ 0.063	$k_p = 1.24$ ($E = -1.030 \text{ V}$) $k_p = 3.20$ ($E = -1.090 \text{ V}$)	—	dcp ^c	102
Tl-Hg/Tl(I)	1 M HClO ₄	—	$\alpha = 0.52$	$k_p = 1.8$	—	fi	77
	1 M KNO ₃	20	—	$k_p > 1$	—	fi	80
DME/Pb(II)	0.33 M K ₂ SO ₄ + 0.01 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.18 \pm 0.02$	$k_p = 1.25 \pm 0.17$	—	op	24
DME/Tl(I)	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2) ^a	22	$\alpha = 0.69$	$k_p = 1.2$	—	fr	4
DME/10 ⁻⁴ M Tl(I)	1 M NaClO ₄ (pH 2)	22	($\alpha = 0.69$)	$k_{1/2} > 1.2$	—	fr	3

^a pH adjusted by the addition of HClO₄.^b Exchange current at a metal-ion concentration of one mole per litre.^c From the dependence of polarographic current on drop time.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/Tl(I)	1 M KNO ₃ (pH 2) ^a	22	$\alpha = 0.8$	$k_s = 0.3$	—	fr	4
DME/10 ⁻⁴ M Tl(I)	1 M KNO ₃ (pH 2)	22	$(\alpha = 0.8)$	$k_{1/2} \geq 0.3$	—	fr	3
DME/Tl(I)	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄	22	$\alpha = 0.68$	$k_s = 3.1$	—	fr	4
DME/10 ⁻⁴ M Tl(I)	0.5 M K ₂ SO ₄ (pH 2)	22	$\alpha = 0.68$	$k_{1/2} > 3.1$	—	fr	3
DME/Tl(I)	1 M KF	22	$\alpha = 0.55$	$k_{1/2} \approx 4.1$	—	fr	3
DME/10 ⁻⁴ M Tl(I)	1 M KCl (pH 2) ^a	22	$\alpha = 0.9$	$k_s = 0.15$	—	fr	4
DME/10 ⁻⁴ M Tl(I)	1 M KCl (pH 2)	22	$(\alpha = 0.9)$	$k_{1/2} \geq 0.15$	—	fr	3
Hg/VO ₂ ⁴⁺	1 N HCl	25	—	$k_s^{(?) = 2.14 \times 10^{-3}}$	—	op	32
Pt/V(III), V(II)	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.67 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = 0.3$	(2.80 ± 0.4)	vs	55
Hg/V(III), V(II)	1 M HClO ₄	20	$\alpha = 0.52$	$i_0 = 30 \pm 2^b$	$\Delta H_s^* = 7.8$	fi	48
DME (or Hg)/V(II), V(III)	1 M HClO ₄	20	—	$k_s = 3.2 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 6.5$	fi	79
	1 M HClO ₄	14.2	$\alpha = 0.57-0.49$	$k_2 = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 7.6$	fi, dep	76
	1 M HClO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.57-0.50$	$k_2 = 3.64 \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi, dep	76
DME/V(III), V(II)	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	15.3	$\alpha = 0.45$	$k_2 = 1.39 \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi, dep	76
Hg/V(II), V(III)	1 M H ₂ SO ₄	15	$\alpha = 0.455$	$k_2 = 1.03 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	78
Zn/1 M ZnSO ₄	0.5 M K ₂ Ox	20	—	$k_s = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 5.5$	fi	79
Zn/0.5 N ZnSO ₄	—	room temp.	$\beta = 0.5$	$\log i_0 = -4.7$	—	—	73
Zn/Zn ²⁺ , aq	0.005 N H ₂ SO ₄	—	—	$v_0 = 10^{-10}$ g-ion/cm ² /s ^c	—	—	85
	3 M acid chloride soln.	20	$\alpha = 0.5?$	$i_0 = 0.7 \times a_{Zn^{2+}}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 25.4$ (ΔH_s^*) _{E=0} = -11.0 ^d	gs	38
Zn/Zn(II)	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.16 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = 0.3$	(3 ± 1)	dp	55
	Fused KCl-LiCl	450	$\alpha = 0.10 \pm 0.05$	$i_0 = 150 \pm 30^b$	(3 ± 1)	vs	55
Hg/Zn(II)	0.9 M NaClO ₄	—	$\alpha = 0.28 \pm 0.03$	$i_0 = 0.4$	—	—	—
	1 M NaClO ₄	0	$\alpha = 0.3$	$i_0 = 150 \pm 20^b$	$\Delta H_s^* = 9.1 \pm$ 0.3 (15-38°C)	fi	25
Zn-Hg(1 mole %)/6 mM Zn(ClO ₄) ₂	1 M NaClO ₄	0	—	$i_0 = 1.02 \times C_{Zn}^{0.48} \times C_{Zn(II)}^{0.72}$	—	ps	98
Zn-Hg(1 mole %)/0.02 M Zn(II)	1 M NaClO ₄	0	$\alpha = 0.25$	$i_0 = 2.1 \times 10^{-3}$	—	gs	27
Zn-Hg/Zn(II)	1 M NaClO ₄	0	$\alpha = 0.25$	$i_0 = 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	ps	27
	1 M NaClO ₄	0	—	$i_0 = 5.8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	gs	68

^a pH adjusted by the addition of HClO₄.^b Exchange current at a metal-ion concentration of one mole per litre.^c v_0 : rate of ionization and discharge of Zn (II) at equilibrium.^d $v = v_0 (e^{-\Delta\epsilon/b'} - e^{\Delta\epsilon/b'})$, $b' = RT/\alpha nF$, $\Delta\epsilon$ = polarization voltage.^e $C_R = C_0 = 1$ M.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
Zn-Hg/ZnSO ₄	1 M NaClO ₄ + 10 ⁻³ N HClO ₄	25	$\alpha = 0.29$	$k_s = 3.26 \times 10^{-3} \pm 3.6\%$	—	fi	88
	1 M KNO ₃	20	—	$k_s = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 8.8$	fi	80
	0.5 M Na ₂ SO ₄	—	$\alpha = 0.30$ $\beta = 0.69$	$k_s(?) = 0.208 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	5
Zn-Hg/Zn(II)	0.33 M K ₂ SO ₄ + 0.01 N H ₂ SO ₄	25	$\alpha n = 0.46 \pm 0.03$	$k_s = 4.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	op	24
	0.2 M KCl	—	—	$\log k_s = -0.48$	—	fi	8
	1 M KCl	0	—	$i_0 = 0.25^a$	—	gs	68
	1 M KCl	20	—	$i_0 = 0.65^a$	—	gs	68
	1 M KCl	25	—	$i_0 = 0.9^a$	—	gs	68
	1 M KCl	—	—	$\log k_s = -2.03$	—	fi	8
	1 M KCl	20	—	$k_s = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 8.6$	fi	80
	1 M KCl	25	$\alpha n = 0.88_4 \pm 0.05$	$k_s = 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$	—	op	24
	1 M KCl	25	—	$k_s = 6 \times 10^{-3}$	—	fi	75
	1 M KCl	25	$\alpha = 0.30$	$k_s = (4.1-4.2) \times 10^{-3}$	—	cr	15
Zn-Hg/0.01 M Zn(II) DME/1 mM Zn(NO ₃) ₂	1 M KBr	20	—	$k_s = 8.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 9.3$	fi	80
	1 M KI	20	—	$k_s = 7.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 5.6$	fi	80
	1 M KSCN	20	—	$k_s = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* = 7.0$	fi	80
	1 M NaOH	20 ± 1	—	$\log k_s = -3.61$	—	fi	8
	1 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μM LEO	0.2	$\alpha = 0.27$	$k_s = 0.33 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dcp	94
	1 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μM LEO	15	$\alpha = 0.28$	$k_s = 1.54 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dcp	94
	1 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μM LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.40$	$k_s = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	$\Delta H_s^* \simeq 14$	dcp	94
	1 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μM LEO	35	$\alpha = 0.30$	$k_s = 5.2_1 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dcp	94
	0.1 N KNO ₃ + 0.01 % gel.	25	$\alpha n = 0.87$	—	—	dcp	65
	0.1 M KNO ₃	10.5	$\alpha n(?) = 0.58$	$k_{1/2} = 1.70 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
Hg/0.002 M ZnCl ₂	0.1 M KNO ₃	24	$\alpha n(?) = 0.76$	$k_{1/2} = 1.32 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KNO ₃	36.5	$\alpha n(?) = 1.16$	$k_{1/2} = 2.82 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KNO ₃	50	$\alpha n(?) = 1.52$	$k_{1/2} = 3.34 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
	1.0 M(?) KNO ₃	21	$\alpha n(?) = 0.46$	$k_{1/2} = 0.40 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
	1.0 M(?) KNO ₃	36	$\alpha n(?) = 0.54$	$k_{1/2} = 0.46 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
	1.0 M(?) KNO ₃	54	$\alpha n(?) = 0.80$	$k_{1/2} = 1.20 \times 10^{-2}$	—	cp	83
	1 M KNO ₃	25 ± 0.1	—	$k_s = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$	—	acp	49

^a C_R = C₀ = 1 M.^b Rate constant at the theoretical half-wave potential.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/1 mM Zn(NO ₃) ₂	1 M KNO ₃ + 2 μM LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.39$	$k_s = 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
DME/Zn(II)	0.1 M NaNO ₃	22	$\alpha = 0.24-0.28$	$k_s = 5 \times 10^{-2}$	—	dep	53
	0.25 M NaNO ₃	22	—	$k_s = 2 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.50 M NaNO ₃	22	—	$k_s = 5.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.75 M NaNO ₃	22	—	$k_s = 3.3 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	1.0 M NaNO ₃	22	—	$k_s = 2.8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.1 M NaNO ₃ + 0.05 M (CH ₃) ₄ NCl	22	—	$k_s = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.1 M NaNO ₃ + 0.05 M (C ₂ H ₅) ₄ NCl	22	—	$k_s = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.1 M NaNO ₃ + 0.05 M (C ₄ H ₉) ₄ NCl	22	—	$k_s = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
3 M KNO ₃	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.57$	$k_s = 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (cath)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\beta = 0.59$	$k_s = 3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ (anod)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_s = 5.8 \times 10^{-3}$ (cath)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\beta = 0.54$	$k_s = 6.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (anod)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_s = 8.7 \times 10^{-3}$ (cath)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\beta = 0.50$	$k_s = 6.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (anod)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_s = 1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ (cath)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\beta = 0.45$	$k_s = 6.2 \times 10^{-3}$ (anod)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_s = 4.6 \times 10^{-3}$ (cath)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃	25	$\beta = 0.36$	$k_s = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ (anod)	—	op	39
Hg/0.002 M ZnCl ₂	3 M KNO ₃ + 0.002 % gel.	25	$\alpha = 0.59$	$k_s = 3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (cath)	—	op	39
	3 M KNO ₃ + 0.01 % gel.	25	$\beta = 0.31$	$k_s = 5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ (anod)	—	op	39
	0.25 M Li ₂ SO ₄	22	$\alpha = 0.24-0.28$	$k_s = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.25 M Na ₂ SO ₄	22	—	$k_s = 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.25 M K ₂ SO ₄	22	—	$k_s = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	0.25 M Cs ₂ SO ₄	22	—	$k_s = 4.1 \times 10^{-4}$	—	dep	53
	0.1 M KCl	1	$\alpha n(?) = 0.66$	$k_{1/2} = 0.52 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KCl	23	$\alpha n(?) = 0.80$	$k_{1/2} = 1.23 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KCl	38.5	$\alpha n(?) = 1.40$	$k_{1/2} = 1.55 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KCl	50	$\alpha n(?) = 1.46$	$k_{1/2} = 3.24 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
Hg/0.004 M ZnCl ₂	0.1 M KCl	19	$\alpha n(?) = 0.76$	$k_{1/2} = 1.58 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KCl	34	$\alpha n(?) = 1.26$	$k_{1/2} = 1.78 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
	0.1 M KCl	48	$\alpha n(?) = 1.88$	$k_{1/2} = 3.31 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
	1.0 M KCl ^b	15	$\alpha n(?) = 0.88$	$(k_{1/2})_1 = 0.29 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—	cp	83
Hg/Zn(II)			$(\alpha n)_2 = 0.32$	$(k_{1/2})_2 = 0.42 \times 10^{-3}$ a	—		

 $\Delta H_s^* = 6-8$ ^a Rate constant at the theoretical half-wave potential.^b In 1 M KCl the log-plot gives two lines.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/Zn(II)	1.0 M KCl ^a	27.5	$(\alpha n)_1 = 1.12$ $(\alpha n)_2 = 0.38$ $(\alpha n)_3 = 1.48$	$(k_{1/2})_1 = 0.34 \times 10^{-3}$ $(k_{1/2})_2 = 0.60 \times 10^{-3}$ $(k_{1/2})_3 = 0.60 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp	83
	1.0 M KCl ^a	37.5	$(\alpha n)_1 = 0.38$ $(\alpha n)_2 = 0.38$	$(k_{1/2})_1 = 0.93 \times 10^{-3}$ $(k_{1/2})_2 = 0.83 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp	83
	1.0 M KCl ^a	49.5	$(\alpha n)_1 = 1.30$ $(\alpha n)_2 = 0.46$ $\alpha n = 0.70$	$(k_{1/2})_1 = 1.12 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_2 = 3.1_8 \times 10^{-3}$ $k_2 = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	—	cp	83
	1 M KCl	—	—	$k_2 = 3.4 \times 10^{-3}$	—	op	58
	1 M KCl + 2 μ M LEO	25 $\pm$ 0.1	$\alpha = 0.4$	$k_2 = 7.0_8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	acp	92
	1 M KCl + 2 μ M LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.31$	—	—	fi	94
	2 M KCl	25	$\alpha n = 1.2$	—	—	dep	20
	2 M NaCl	25	$\alpha n = 0.7$	—	—	dep	20
	0.01 M KCl + 0.99 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μ M LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.31$	$k_2 = 2.8_2 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
	0.10 M NaCl + 0.90 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μ M LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.31$	$k_2 = 3.3_3 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
DME/1 mM Zn(NO ₃) ₂	2.88 M KCl + 2 μ M LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.16$	$k_2 = 4.8_8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
	5.25 M CaCl ₂ + 2 μ M LEO	25	rev.	rev.	—	dep	94
	0.1 M LaCl ₃	22	—	$k_2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	53
	1 M KBr + 2 μ M LEO	25 $\pm$ 0.1	—	$k_2 = 8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	acp	49
	1 M KI	25	$\alpha = 0.36$	$k_2 = 10.5 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
	1 M KI + 2 μ M LEO	25 $\pm$ 0.1	—	$k_2 = 7 \times 10^{-3}$	—	acp	49
	0.01 M NaI + 0.99 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μ M LEO	25	rev.	rev.	—	dep	94
	0.10 M NaI + 0.90 M NaClO ₄ + 2 μ M LEO	25	$\alpha = 0.28$	$k_2 = 2.7_8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
	1 M KSCN	25 $\pm$ 0.1	$\alpha = 0.25$	$k_2 = 4.7_8 \times 10^{-3}$	—	dep	94
	1 M NaOH	20 $\pm$ 1	—	$k_2 = 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	—	acp	49
DME/Zn(II)	1 M NaOH	25 $\pm$ 0.1	$\alpha = 0.43$	$\log k_2 = -3.75$	—	dep	8
	KCl + 0.1–1.8 M KOH ($\mu = 2$)	25	—	$(k_2 = 7.4 \times 10^{-5})$	—	acp	49
	2 M KOH	25	$\alpha = 0.42 \pm 0.02$	$\log (k_2)_B = -3.3 \pm 0.05^c$	—	dep	60
	2 M KOH + ca 0.01 % gel.	25	$\alpha n = 0.4$	—	—	dep	20
	2 M KOH + ca 0.01 % gel.	25	$\alpha n = 0.4$	—	—	dep	20

^a In 1 M KCl the log-plot gives two lines.

^b Rate constant at the theoretical half-wave potential.

^c Rate constant concerning the overall electrode reaction.

System	Medium	Temperature °C	Transfer coefficient	Rate constant	Heat of activation	Method	Ref.
DME/ZnCl ₂	2 M NaOH	25	$\alpha n = 0.8$	—	—	dcp	20
	2 M NaOH + ca 0.01% gel.	25	$\alpha n = 0.5$	—	—	dcp	20
	0.25–2.8 M NH ₃ + NH ₄ Cl	25	$\alpha = 0.65 \pm 0.02$	$\log (k_1)_B = -4.9 \pm 0.1^a$	—	dcp	60
	1 N NH ₃ + NH ₄ Cl	—	$\alpha n = 1$	—	—	op	12, 40
	1 N NH ₃ + (NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	—	$\alpha n = 1.68$	$\log k_2 = -4.2$	—	op	40
	0.1 N KNO ₃ + 1.185 N NH ₃ + 0.01% gel.	25	$\alpha = 0.77$	—	—	dcp	65
	0.1 N KNO ₃ + 0.1–2.0 M en + 0.02% gel.	25	$\alpha = 0.86$	$\log k_2 = -4$ $\log k_3 = -31.3^b$	—	dcp	66
	0.02–0.1 M K ₂ C ₂ O ₄ ^c	—	$\alpha = 0.24$ $\beta = 0.67$ $\alpha = 0.22^d$	—	—	dcp	8
	0.1–1.5 M tartrate + KCl	—	—	$(k_1)_B = 3.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ cm/s}^e$ $(k_2)_B = 3.4 \times 10^{-4}$ $(\text{cm/s}) \times (\text{mole/l})^{-1/2}$	—	dcp	61

^a Rate constant concerning the overall electrode reaction.

^b Rate const. referred to 0 V vs NHE.

^c The cathodic wave is composed of two overlapping waves. The value of α is that of the second wave. The slope of the first wave cannot be determined very accurately. The value of β was obtained from the slope of the anodic wave.

^d In the concentration range of 0.09–1.5 M, α is independent of tartrate concentration.

^e Rate constant of $\text{Zn}(\text{tar})_0 + 2e \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}(\text{Hg})$ at the normal potential of the overall reaction.

^f Rate constant of $\text{Zn}(\text{tar})_1 + 2e \rightleftharpoons \text{Zn}(\text{Hg}) + 2(\text{tar})$ at the normal potential of the overall reaction.

REFERENCES

1. H. P. AGARWAL, *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **5**, 236 (1963).
2. F. C. ANSON, *Analyt. Chem.* **33**, 939 (1961).
3. G. C. BARKER, *Trans. Symp. Electrode Proc.*, Philadelphia 1959, p. 325. Wiley, New York (1961).
4. G. C. BARKER, R. L. FAIRCLOTH and A. W. GARDNER, *Nature, Lond.* **181**, 247 (1958).
5. ANNE MARIE BATICLE, *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **254**, 668 (1962).
6. H. H. BAUER and P. ELVING, *Analyt. Chem.* **30**, 341 (1958).
7. H. H. BAUER, D. L. SMITH and P. J. ELVING, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **82**, 2094 (1960).
8. B. BEHR, J. DOJLIDO and J. MAŁYSZKO, *Roczn. Chem.* **36**, 725 (1962).
9. T. BERZINS and P. DELAHAY, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **77**, 6448 (1955).
10. R. J. BRODD, *J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand.* **65A**, 275 (1961).
11. B. E. CONWAY, *Electrochemical Data*. Elsevier (1952).
12. P. DELAHAY, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **75**, 1190 (1953).
13. P. DELAHAY, *J. Chim. Phys.* **1957**, 369 (1957).
14. P. DELAHAY, *Progress in Polarography*. Vol. 1, p. 72. Interscience, New York (1962).
15. P. DELAHAY and A. ARAMATA, *J. Phys. Chem.* **66**, 2208 (1962).
16. P. DELAHAY and M. KLEINERMAN, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **82**, 4509 (1960).
17. P. DELAHAY and J. E. STRASSNER, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 5219 (1951).
18. P. DELAHAY and I. TRACHTENBERG, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **80**, 2094 (1958).
19. R. D. DEMARS and I. SHAIN, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **81**, 2654 (1959).
20. T. P. DIRKSE, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)* **33**, 387 (1962).
21. S. FRONAEUS, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **10**, 1345 (1956).
22. S. FRONAEUS and C. O. ÖSTMAN, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **10**, 769 (1956).
23. Z. GALUS and R. N. ADAMS, *J. Phys. Chem.* **67**, 866 (1963).
24. G. GAVIOLI and P. PAPOFF, *Ric. Sci. Serie II, R.C. Sez. A*, **1**, 193 (1961).
25. H. GERISCHER, *Z. phys. Chem.* **202**, 302 (1953).
26. H. GERISCHER, *Z. Elektrochem.* **57**, 605 (1953).
27. H. GERISCHER, *Z. Elektrochem.* **59**, 604 (1955).
28. H. GERISCHER and M. KRAUSE, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)* **14**, 184 (1958).
29. H. GERISCHER and K. -E. STAUBACH, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)* **6**, 118 (1956).
30. H. GERISCHER and R. P. TISCHER, *Z. Elektrochem.* **61**, 1159 (1957).
31. L. GIERST, *Trans. Symp. Electrode Proc.*, Philadelphia 1959, p. 109. Wiley, New York (1961).
32. YA. P. GOKHSHEIN and TS'AI-SHENG KAO, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **35**, 1699 (1961); *Chem. Abstr.* **56**, 1280a (1962).
33. P. J. HILLSON, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **50**, 385 (1954).
34. M. HOLLNAGEL and R. LANDSBERG, *Z. phys. Chem.* **212**, 94 (1959).
35. T. HURLEN, *Acta Chem. Scand.* **15**, 621 (1961).
36. T. HURLEN, *ibid.* **14**, 1533, 1564 (1960).
37. T. HURLEN, *ibid.* **15**, 630 (1961).
38. T. HURLEN, *ibid.* **16**, 1337, 1353 (1962).
39. H. IMAI, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A*, **22**, 291 (1958).
40. H. IMAI, *J. Electrochem. Soc. Japan* **27**, 55 (1959).
41. H. IMAI, *J. Phys. Chem.* **66**, 1744 (1962).
42. H. IMAI and P. DELAHAY, *J. Phys. Chem.* **66**, 1108 (1962).
43. S. INOUE and H. IMAI, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **33**, 149 (1960).
44. D. JAHN and W. VIELSTICH, private communication.
45. J. JORDAN, *Trans. Symp. Electrode Proc.*, Philadelphia 1959, p. 62. Wiley, New York (1961).
46. J. JORDAN and R. A. JAVICK, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **80**, 1264 (1958).
47. J. JORDAN and R. A. JAVICK, *Electrochim. Acta* **6**, 22 (1962).
48. K. M. JOSHI, W. MEHL and R. PARSONS, *Trans. Symp. Electrode Proc.*, Philadelphia 1959, p. 249. Wiley, New York (1961).
49. T. KAMBARA and T. ISHII, *Rev. Polarog.* **9**, 30 (1961).
50. L. KISOVA, M. JAKESOVA and O. FISCHER, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Comm.* **27**, 2854 (1962).
51. I. M. KOLTHOFF and Y. OKINAKA, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **81**, 2296 (1959).
52. I. M. KOLTHOFF and Y. OKINAKA, private communication.
53. J. KORYTA, *Electrochim. Acta* **6**, 67 (1962).
54. H. A. LAITINEN and W. J. SUBCASKY, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **80**, 2623 (1958).
55. H. A. LAITINEN, R. TISCHER and D. K. ROE, *Trans. Symp. Electrode Proc.*, Philadelphia 1959, p. 185. Wiley, New York (1961).
56. W. LORENZ, *Z. Elektrochem.* **58**, 912 (1954).
57. B. LOVRECEK and V. MARKOVAC, *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **109**, 727 (1962).

58. H. MATSUDA and Y. AYABE, *Z. Elektrochem.* **59**, 494 (1955).
59. H. MATSUDA and Y. AYABE, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **29**, 134 (1956).
60. H. MATSUDA and Y. AYABE, *Z. Elektrochem.* **63**, 1164 (1959).
61. H. MATSUDA and Y. AYABE, *Z. Elektrochem.* **66**, 469 (1962).
62. H. MATSUDA, S. OKA and P. DELAHAY, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **81**, 5077 (1959).
63. L. MEITES and Y. ISRAEL, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **83**, 4903 (1961).
64. K. MORINAGA, *J. Chem. Soc. Japan, Pure Chem. Sect. (Nippon Kagaku Zasshi)* **76**, 133 (1955).
65. K. MORINAGA, *J. Chem. Soc. Japan, Pure Chem. Sect. (Nippon Kagaku Zasshi)* **76**, 136 (1955).
66. K. MORINAGA, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **29**, 793 (1956); and private communication.
67. A. A. MOUSSA and H. M. SAMMOUR, *J. Chem. Soc.* 2151 (1960).
68. W. MÜLLER and W. LORENZ, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)* **27**, 23 (1961).
69. I. T. OIWA, N. TANAKA and R. TAMAMUSHI, unpublished data.
70. Y. OKINAKA and I. M. KOLTHOFF, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **82**, 324 (1960).
71. A. V. PAMFILOV and A. I. LOPUSHANSKAYA, *Chem. Abstr.* **55**, 2311e (1961).
72. A. V. PAMFILOV, A. I. LOPUSHANSKAYA and T. S. IVCHER, *Ukr. Khim. Zh.* **27**, 598 (1961); *Chem. Abstr.* **56**, 8461c (1962).
73. R. PARSONS, *Handbook of Electrochemical Constants*. Butterworths, London (1959).
74. G. PHILBERT, *J. Chim. Phys.* **40**, 157 (1943).
75. J. E. B. RANGLES, *Disc. Faraday Soc.* **1**, 11 (1947).
76. J. E. B. RANGLES, *Canad. J. Chem.* **37**, 238 (1959).
77. J. E. B. RANGLES, *Trans. Symp. Electrode Proc.*, Philadelphia 1959, p. 209. Wiley, New York (1961).
78. J. E. B. RANGLES, *Progress in Polarography*, Vol. I, p. 123. Interscience, New York (1962).
79. J. E. B. RANGLES and K. W. SOMERTON, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **48**, 937 (1952).
80. J. E. B. RANGLES and K. W. SOMERTON, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **48**, 951 (1952).
81. J. E. B. RANGLES and W. WHITE, *Z. Elektrochem.* **59**, 666 (1955).
82. A. REGNER and J. BALEJ, *Coll. Czech. Chem. Comm.* **26**, 237 (1961).
83. A. RIUS, I. M. TORDSILLAS and A. SACRISTAN, *Electrochim. Acta* **4**, 62 (1961).
84. V. A. ROITER, V. A. JUZA and E. S. POLUYAN, *Acta Phys. Chim. URSS* **10**, 389 (1939); *Chem. Abstr.* **33**, 6169^a (1939).
85. V. A. ROITER, E. S. POLUYAN and V. A. JUZA, *Acta Phys. Chim. URSS* **10**, 845 (1939); *Chem. Abstr.* **33**, 8123^a (1939).
86. F. W. SALT, *Disc. Faraday Soc.* **1**, 107 (1947).
87. A. B. SHEININ, V. A. ZINOV'EV and V. L. KHEIFETS, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **35**, 513 (1961); *Chem. Abstr.* **55**, 17305a (1961).
88. J. H. SLUYTERS and J. J. C. OOMEN, *Rec. Trav. Chim.* **79**, 1101 (1960).
89. D. E. SMITH, Doctoral Thesis, Columbia Univ. (1961); private communication.
90. D. E. SMITH and W. H. REINMUTH, private communication.
91. A. G. STROMBERG and A. I. KARTUSHINSKAYA, *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **34**, 1684 (1960); *Chem. Abstr.* **56**, 1291a (1962).
92. R. TAMAMUSHI and N. TANAKA, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)* **21**, 89 (1959).
93. R. TAMAMUSHI, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan* **23**, 250 (1950).
94. R. TAMAMUSHI and N. TANAKA, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)*, **39**, 117 (1963); R. TAMAMUSHI, K. ISHIBASHI and N. TANAKA, *ibid.* **35**, 209 (1962).
95. J. VAN CAKENBERGHE, *Bull. Chim. Soc. Belges* **60**, 3 (1951).
96. K. J. VETTER, *Elektrochemische Kinetik*. Springer (1961).
97. W. VIELSTICH and P. DELAHAY, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **79**, 1874 (1957).
98. W. VIELSTICH and H. GERISCHER, *Z. phys. Chem. (N.F.)* **4**, 10 (1955).
99. A. A. VLČEK, *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Coordination Chemistry*, pp. 590-603 (1961).
100. A. A. VLČEK, *Nature, Lond.* **197**, 786 (1963).
101. M. D. WUNEN and W. M. SMIT, *Rec. Trav. Chim.* **79**, 289 (1960).
102. D. WOLF, *J. Electroanalyt. Chem.* **5**, 186 (1963).